

BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,640	01/	04/2002	Jonathan S. Stinson	23,369-110	23,369-110 9194	
23452	7590	07/19/2005		EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT			EREZO, DARWIN P			
•		DALY & LINDGR	EN, LTD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1500 WELL 7900 XERX				3731	TALER NOMBER	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/038,640	STINSON, JONATHAN S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Darwin P. Erezo	3731		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Darwin P. Erezo	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	7 000
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion for the periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			_
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•	
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	nowable il submitted in a separate	, timely liled amendr	ient canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6 and 58</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,7,8,14,15,17,25,52-57 and 59-75</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>5</u> .		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

13.
Other: ___

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's arguments with regards to the Pinchasik Reference are not persuasive. Examiner Erezo agrees with the rejections set forth by Examiner Pantuck in the last office action. With regards to the arguments that Pinchasik fails to teach a flexible strand, it should be noted that the portion of the strand that comprises the second tubular elements is flexible. With regards to the reference failing to teach a "strand", it should be noted that the claim recites "at least one flexible strand" and that a strand is merely defined as an element interwoven in a complex whole. With regards to the reference failing to teach the tubular segments having a restoring force, it should be noted that the device of Pinchasik expands after deployment, thus having inherent restoring force.